

# Seismic Modeling of Reservoir Heterogeneity Scales: An Application on Gas Hydrate Reservoirs

Jun-Wei Huang\* University of Toronto, Toronto, ON jhuang@physics.utoronto.ca

and

Bernd Milkereit University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

#### Summary

Heterogeneous petrophysics reservoir models were constructed based on the P-wave and S-wave velocity logs showing a von Karman style autocorrelation function and a bimodal probability density function. P- and S-wave side scattering, wave mode conversion, and their integration play a significant role in seismic wave propagation. Synthetic reflections imply that the strong attenuation observed in the field data might be caused by the scattering and the small scale heterogeneities do not generate continuous reflections on the surface data. The Monte Carlo approach will be applied to simulate reservoirs with well log constraints and acoustic impedance from surface seismic data. Three dimensional modeling will assist the interpretation of true reflection amplitudes obtained from a simulated heterogeneous reservoir.

#### Introduction

Natural gas hydrates, a type of inclusion compound or clathrate, are composed of gas molecules trapped within a cage of water molecules. The presence of gas hydrates in permafrost regions and in deep ocean along continental slopes have been confirmed by core samples recovered from boreholes. However, neither the geological nor the seismic model of the gas hydrate reservoirs is fully understood. Significant variations in P- and S-wave velocities from borehole logs indicate the cementation of sediment due to the formation of gas hydrates. Cross-well survey (Pratt et al., 2005) and zero-offset Vertical Seismic Profiling data (Milkereit et al., 2005) indicate strong attenuation in the gas hydrate bearing zone. In addition, the structures of gas hydrate bearing zones are almost transparent to the conventional surface seismic methods. The contradictive properties from gas hydrate reservoirs can be attributed to wave scattering and energy conversions (Huang et al., 2006). We believe that within the gas hydrate stability zone, the lithological heterogeneities (e.g., lithology, porosity, fluid saturation, pore pressure etc.) control the distribution of gas hydrates.

From observations of a von Karman style autocorrelation function and a bimodal probability density function in the P-wave velocity logs from MITI Nankai Trough Post Survey well #1, Kamei et al. (2005) constructed a random heterogeneous P-wave model and successfully explained the

frequency dependence of the Bottom Simulating Reflector (BSR) as well as the scattering attenuation. The same stochastic features are observed in the borehole logs from Mallik 2L-38 and Mallik 5L-38. Considering that energy dissipation due to wave mode conversion was ignored in acoustic modeling, we modified their algorithm and constructed a 2D elastic heterogeneous random field. Elastic finite difference modeling of seismic wave propagation demonstrated that a petrophysics reservoir with small scale heterogeneities do not generate continuous reflections. In field data processing, care must be taken to compensate the waveform distortion before stacking.

A geostatistical approach characterized by integrating seismic data and well logs was used to estimate the porosity and lithology of a reservoir (Doyen and Guidish, 1992). A similar approach will be applied to simulate gas hydrate reservoirs by combining available information from surface seismic, VSP data, and well logs.

## Algorithm of Heterogeneous Random Construction

A multi-dimentional stationary random medium is characterized by an autocorrelation function (ACF) and probability density function (PDF). A spectral-based approach was adopted to simulate the randomly heterogeneous model (Yamazaki and Shinozuka, 1988).

Firstly, a linear trend was identified and then subtracted from the processed well logs of Vp and Vs in the sediment strata (Figure 1). Velocity logs were scaled by estimated standard deviations. Secondly, a bimodal probability density function was obstained by fitting the histogram of well logs from the gas hydrate strata: 890 m – 1144 m (Figure 2). The bimodal probability function was considered as a linear combination of two weighted Gaussian distribution functions (Kamei et al., 2005):

$$p(V) = \frac{w_1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1}} \exp\left(-\frac{(V-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) + \frac{(1-w_1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(V-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right)$$
(1)

where V is the random parameter related to the velocity,  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are mean velocity and standard deviation, respectively. Least square fitting provide  $\sigma_1$  values. To have a zero mean weight factor can be decided from the mean value:  $w_1 = \frac{\mu_2}{(\mu_2 - \mu_1)}$ , and to have a unit variance the second variance is governed by:  $\sigma_2^2 = \left[ \frac{\mu_2 \sigma_1^2 - (\mu_2 - \mu_1)(\mu_2 \mu_1 + 1)}{(\mu_2 - \mu_1)(\mu_2 \mu_1 + 1)} \right] / \mu_1$ . The mean values and standard deviations are listed in Table 1.

The third step was to fit the autocorrelation of the Vp logs and Vs logs by a von Karman style autocorrelation function (Goff and Jordan, 1988):

$$C(r(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{G_{\nu}(r(\mathbf{x}))}{G_{\nu}(0)} = \frac{r^{\nu}K_{\nu}(r)}{2^{1-\nu}\Gamma(\nu)}, \quad r(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{a_{x}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{y}{a_{y}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{z}{a_{z}}\right)^{2}}$$
(2)

where **x** is a vector in the multi-dimentional random field,  $\mathbf{x}=[x,y]$  in 2D and  $\mathbf{x}=[x,y,z]$  in 3D, and K<sub>v</sub> is the modified Bessel function of the second kind,  $\Gamma(v)$  is the Gamma function, and parameter v is the Hurst number describing the roughness of the medium. The vertical characteristic scale  $a_z$  and the Hurst number v for both P-wave and S-wave velocity logs were obtained by a least square curve fitting (Figure 3). The values are in table 1.

The desired power spectrum density function (PSDF) of the medium,  $S_d(\mathbf{K})$  was obtained from ACF equation (2) by a Fourier transfrom. The intermediate Gaussian random field  $g(\mathbf{x})$  was then generated from the PSDF which is followed by a mapping to construct the non-Gaussian bimodal random field: Vp and Vs (Yamazaki and Shinozuka, 1988). A strong positive correlation of Vp and Vs was assumed. In our case, the PSDF is

$$2D: S_{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{4\pi \nu a_{x}a_{y}}{\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\nu+1}}, |\mathbf{k}| = \sqrt{k_{x}^{2}a_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}a_{y}^{2}} \text{ and } 3D: S_{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\left(4\pi\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}a_{x}a_{y}a_{z}}{\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\nu+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu)}, |\mathbf{k}| = \sqrt{k_{x}^{2}a_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}a_{y}^{2}+k_{z}^{2}a_{z}^{2}}$$
(3)

where  $k_x,k_y,k_z$  are the wave number component,  $a_x,a_y,a_z$  are the characteristic scales in 3-D. More general expressions can be found in Lord (1954).



Best Fitting of P-wave velocity logs						Best Fitting of P-wave velocity logs					
µ1 (m/s)	µ2 (m/s)	σ <sub>1</sub> (m/s)	$\sigma_2$ (m/s)	a <sub>z</sub> (m)	v	µ₁ (m/s)	µ2 (m/s)	σ <sub>1</sub> (m/s)	$\sigma_2$ (m/s)	a <sub>z</sub> (m)	V
-400	500	128.4	355.3	7.9	0.59	-150	200	46.0	196.2	4.3	0.94

Table 1: List of the best fitting parameters: the standard deviations, vertical characteristic scales and Hurst numbers are scaled up by estimated standard deviations.

#### **Results from Heterogeneous Gas Hydrate Reservoirs**

Selecting the horizontal characteristic scale  $a_x$  as 10m and 500m, we generated two 2D elastic random media and imbeded it into a stratified background model with reference reflectors below gas hydrate bearing zones (Figure 5, 6). A downgoing plane wave with vertical force was initiated at the free surface to simulate zero-offset response from the model. Due to strong scattering and wave

conversion, small scale heterogeneities was represented as laterally discontinous seismic amplitude which has a severe impact on conventional seismic data processing, such as stacking. The presence of small scale heterogeneities has weak influence on the deep reference reflections (Figure 5) while the large scale heterogeneities do not influence the arrival time but the amplitude.

### **Conclusions & Outlook**

A random elastic 2D medium with a bimodal PDF was constructed based on the spectral approach. The influence of different heterogeneity scales on surface seismic data was analyzed. Sythetic reflections imply that the strong attenuation observed in the field data might be caused by the strong scattering in the gas hydrate bearing zone and small scale heterogeneities do not generate continuous reflections. Large scale heterogeneities generate laterally continuous reflections with amplitude variation. In order to accurately quantify the heterogeneity of reserviors, 3D models will be constructed using the similar algorithm (Bohlen and Milkereit, 2001). Geostatistical approach based on Monte Carlo simulation will integrate available information from well logs and field data to produce an optimized petrophysics reservior model.



Figure 6: (Left) The randomly heterogeneous gas hydrate reservoirs with a bimodal PDF and the horizontal characteristic scale is 10m. The vertical and horizontal variation of P-wave velocity are shown in the logs. (Right) Zero-offset response from a stratified model with imbedded heterogeneous gas hydrates. Energy from gas hydrate zones arrived between 0.5 s and 0.7 s. Continuous reflections can be observed with amplitude variation.

#### References

Bohlen T., and Milkereit, B, 2001, Parallel 3-D Viscoelastic Finite-Difference Seismic Modeling, Extended abstract at EAGE 63rd Conference & Technical Exhibition — Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Doyen, P.M., and Guidish, T.M., 1992, Seismic Discrimination of Lithology and Porosity, A Monte Carlo Approach, Reservoir Geophysics edited by Robert E. Sheriff, p234-250, Soceity of Exploration Geophysicists.

Goff, J.A., and Jordan, T.H., 1988, Stochastic modeling of seafloor morphology: Inversion of sea beam data for second-order statistics: Journal of Geophysical Research, 93, 13589-13608.

Huang, J.W., and Milkereit, B., 2006, Seismic imaging of gas hydrate distribution – a case study, Extended abstract at 2006 CSPG-CSEG-CWLS convention.

Kamei, R., Hato Masami, and Matsuoka, T., 2005, Random heterogeneous model with bimodal velocity distribution for Methan Hydrate exploration, exploration Geophysics, 36, 41-49.

Lord, R.D., 1954, The use of the Hankel Transform in Statistics I. General Theory and Examples, Biometrika, Vol. 41, No. 1/2, pp.44-55.

Milkereit, B., Adam, E., Li, Z., Qian, W., Bohlen, T., Banerjee, D., and Schmitt, D.R.2005: Multi-offset vertical seismic profiling: an experiment to assess petrophysical-scale parameters at the JAPEX/JNOC/GSC et al. Mallik 5L-38 gas hydrate production research well; in Scientific Results from the Mallik 2002 Gas Hydrate Production Research Well Program, Mackenzie Delta, Northwest Territories, Canada, (ed.) S.R. Dallimore and T.S. Collett; Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 585.

Pratt, R.G., Hou, F., Bauer, K., and Weber, M.H. 2005: Waveform tomography images of velocity and inelastic attenuation from theMallik 2002 crosshole seismic surveys; in Scientific Results from theMallik 2002 Gas Hydrate Production ResearchWell Program, MackenzieDelta,Northwest Territories, Canada, (ed.) S.R.Dallimore and T.S. Collett; Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 585.

Yamazaki, A., and Shinozuka, 1988, Digital generation of non-Gaussian stochastic fields, J. Eng. Mech., ASCE, 114, 1183-1197.